Anti-nociceptive Activity of Quebracho tannin Extract on Pain Induced by Formalin and Writhing Tests in Mice
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Abstract

Background: Based on positive role of the tannins for pain relief, there is no report for possible anti-nociceptive activity of the Quebracho tannin.

Objectives: This study aimed to determine the anti-nociceptive activity of the Quebracho tannin extract (QTE) on pain in mice.

Materials and Methods: For this purpose, 340 mice were used for formalin and writhing tests each including 4 experiments with 4 sub-groups. In experiment 1, mice were injected with saline, QTE (100 mg/kg), QTE (200 mg/kg), QTE (400 mg/kg), and morphine (5 mg/kg). In the second experiment, injections included saline, QTE (400 mg/kg), naloxone (2 mg/kg), and QTE + naloxone. Experiments 3 and 4 were similar to experiment 2, except that mice injected were with N⁵-nitro arginine methyl ester (L-NAME, 10 mg/kg) and cyproheptadine (4 mg/kg) instead of naloxone. Then, formalin (1%) was injected, and time spent for licking the injected paw was recorded until 30 minutes following injection in the first and second phases. Finally, injections in 4 experiment groups were the same, and animals were intraperitoneally injected with acetic acid, and contractions were recorded in the writhing test category.

Results: According to the results, QTE (100, 200, and 400 mg/kg) decreased pain in the injected paw (\(P=0.001\)) and inhibited the pain response by 59.37% (\(P=0.001\)). Moreover, the injection of naloxone + QTE significantly decreased pain in the injected paw (\(P=0.021\)). Eventually, the injection of the L-NAME + QTE significantly reduced the anti-nociception effect of the QTE on the formalin test (\(P=0.031\)) and writhing contractions (55.75%, \(P=0.033\)).

Conclusion: These findings suggested anti-nociceptive properties of the QTE mediated by opioiergic and nitrergic systems.
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Background

Inflammation is a pathophysiological reaction and defense mechanism in animals (1). Activation of nociceptors in the viscera leads to visceral pain (2). Visceral tissue injury and inflammation activate nociceptive primary afferent fibers to the central nervous system via the spinal cord dorsal horn (3). Because of physio-psychological complications, controlling chronic pain is difficult compared to acute pain and has low effectiveness. Inflammatory mediators interact with nociceptors and elevate increase pain transmission (4). Current analgesic therapies such as non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and opioids are useful in pain relief, but the side effects are an important challenge to drug research (4). A large body of research has been performed to develop more powerful anti-inflammatory drugs with lesser side effects (5).

During the growth and maturation period in plants, several polyphenolic compounds appear that seem to have an important role in plant fortune. These substances are known as the secondary metabolites of plants (6). Tannins are polyphenolic compounds found in fruits and trees. The term "tannin" refers to "tanning" or protection during leather fabrication (7). These compounds have an adverse taste helping them in protecting the plant against being eaten by mammalian herbivores, birds, and insects (8). Tannins are mainly classified into three major groups, including hydrolysable tannins (HT), condensed tannins (CT) or proanthocyanidins and phlorotannins (9). The molecules of HT usually enclose D-glucose as a central core. The hydroxyl groups of these carbohydrates are esterified with phenolic groups such as ellagic or gallic acid. These different chemical structures lead to dissimilar physical and biological activities of the CT (10). Tannins have several biological properties with antimicrobial, anti-parasitic, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antiviral effects (11).

Red Quebracho species (Schinopsis lorentzii and Schinopsis balansae) are as revealed as fluent and
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abundant (15%-25% CT), and commercial extracts have approximately 300 mg/g of CT. Extracts are typically taken from the bark and used for leather tanning (12). The Quebracho tannin extracts (QTE) are generally composed of 95% CT and 5% polysaccharides (13). QTE is a commercial source of CT that is widely applied for ruminant feeding to improve digestibility, nitrogen balance, energy partitioning, and milk production (14). It is reported that isolated tannin from Phyllanthus niruri L. has anti-hyperalgesic activity in writhing and formalin test, and this activity is mediated by glutamatergic receptors (15). Additionally, the hydroalcoholic extract of the Satureja khuzistanica Jamzad extract has anti-nociceptive activity in the formalin test similar to morphine (3 mg/kg), and tannins might be responsible for these anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive activities (16). It is suggested that tannic acid is valuable in the treatment of inflammatory pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatic arthritis, and burn pain (17). According to reports, Acacia nilotica (18) and Acacia tortilis (19) have high levels of antioxidants that are useful as anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive treatments. Flavones have a beneficial role in numerous physiological for possessing various pharmacological actions. Previous literature revealed the anti-nociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of many flavone derivatives (20). Considering the lack of any report on the anti-inflammatory and anti-nociceptive effects of the QTE, the current study sought to determine the anti-nociceptive effects of the QTE on inflammatory pain induced by formalin and writhing tests.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Overall, 340 adult male NMRI mice (25-30 g) were kept 8-10 mice per cage under standard laboratory conditions (23 ± 1°C ambient temperature, a 12-hour dark/light cycle, and 55%-56% relative humidity) at the Department of Veterinary Medicine, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. Mice provided chow pellets and water. After 7 days of acclimatization, formalin and writhing tests were employed to determine the anti-nociceptive effect of the QTE. Accordingly, animals were randomly allocated to 2 classes, including 4 experiments with 4 sub-groups each containing 10 animals (21).

Extraction and Drugs

The Quebracho tannin bark was purchased from the local market, and taxonomic identification of plants was conducted at Razi Central Laboratory, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. The gathered samples were dried at ambient temperature and under sunlight for 5 days. Thirty grams of the plant material was soaked with ethanol (150 mL) for 24 hours at laboratory temperature. Then, the sample was filtered twice using Whatman filter paper until obtaining a clear extract. The obtained filtrates were combined and then evaporated until dryness using a rotary evaporator at 40°C. The extracts were stored in sterile sample tubes at -20°C (22). Morphine, naloxone (nonselective opioid receptor antagonist), nitric oxide inhibitor (L-NAME), and cyproheptadine (serotonergic receptor antagonist) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and, formalin was provided from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) according to previous research (1).

Formalin Test

In the first experiment, mice were intraperitoneally injected with saline, QTE (100 mg/kg), QTE (200 mg/kg), and QTE (400 mg/kg) morphine (5 mg/kg), and formalin 1% was injected after 30 minutes into the plantar surface of the right paw (Figure 1) according to previous studies (1, 23). In experiment two, mice were injected with saline, QTE (400 mg/kg), naloxone (2 mg/kg), and QTE + naloxone. In the group with 2 injections, first, mice received an antagonist, and then were injected with QTE (400 mg/kg) and formalin after 15 minutes, respectively (Figure 2). In the third experiment, mice were intraperitoneally injected with saline, QTE (400 mg/kg), L-NAME (10 mg/kg), and QTE + L-NAME (Figure 3). In experiment four, injections included saline, QTE (400 mg/kg), cyproheptadine (4 mg/kg), and QTE + cyproheptadine (Figure 4). The dose of the drugs was based on previous reports (1,23,24).

Writhing Test

In experiment one, mice were intraperitoneally injected with saline (10 mL/kg), QTE (100 mg/kg), QTE (200 mg/kg), and QTE (400 mg/kg), and morphine (5 mg/kg). After 30 minutes, acetic acid (10 mL/kg of 0.6%) was intraperitoneally injected, and the writhing test was determined for thirty minutes (Table 1). The anti-nociceptive activity was obtained as the percentage of the inhibition of writhing based on the ratio of: (control mean – treatment mean) × 100/control mean (23). Writhing is known as abdominal contractions greater than the abdominal region (25). Then, the QTE at effective dosage was applied for the subsequent experiments. In experiment two, mice were intraperitoneally injected with saline (10 mL/kg), QTE (400 mg/kg), naloxone (2 mg/kg), and QTE
Results

Anti-nociceptive Effects of the QTE

Data on the anti-nociceptive effects of the QTE using formalin and writhing tests are presented in Figure 1 and Table 1. Based on the results, the QTE decreased pain in mice.

Table 1. Effect of the QTE on Acetic Acid-Induced Writhing Test in Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Writhing Count</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>74.26 ± 1.10</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (100 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>50.69 ± 1.84</td>
<td>31.73</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (200 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>44.23 ± 1.60</td>
<td>40.43</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (400 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>30.17 ± 1.55</td>
<td>59.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine (5 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>20.21 ± 1.54</td>
<td>72.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: QTE: Quebracho tannin extract; SE: Standard error. Values are indicated as the mean ± standard error. Different superscripts (a-c) demonstrate a significant difference between groups (P < 0.05).

Table 2. Effect of the Naloxone on QTE Anti-nociception on Acetic Acid-induced Writhing Test in Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Writhing Count</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>73.54 ± 1.64</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naloxone (2 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>71.68 ± 1.11</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (400 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>30.18 ± 1.14</td>
<td>57.54</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naloxone + QTE (i.p)</td>
<td>38.62 ± 1.54</td>
<td>47.48</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: QTE: Quebracho tannin extract. Naloxone: Opioid receptor antagonist. Values are denoted as the mean ± standard error. *P <0.05 vs. control. Each group includes 10 mice.

Table 3. Effect of the L-NAME on QTE Anti-nociception on Acetic Acid-induced Writhing Test in Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Writhing Count</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>73.54 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-NAME (10 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>72.17 ± 1.68</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (400 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>22.17 ± 1.34</td>
<td>69.85</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L-NAME + QTE (i.p)</td>
<td>32.54 ± 1.28</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: QTE: Quebracho tannin extract. L-NAME: L-NG-Nitro arginine methyl ester. Values are presented as the mean ± standard error. * P <0.05 vs. control. Each group consists of 10 mice.

Table 4. Effect of the Cyproheptadine on QTE Anti-nociception on Acetic Acid-induced Writhing Test in Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>Writhing Count</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (saline)</td>
<td>73.54 ± 1.14</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyproheptadine (4 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>72.77 ± 1.87</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTE (400 mg/kg, i.p)</td>
<td>22.17 ± 1.42</td>
<td>69.85</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyproheptadine + QTE (i.p)</td>
<td>23.44 ± 1.71</td>
<td>68.43</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: QTE: Quebracho tannin extract. Cyproheptadine: Serotonergic receptor antagonist. Values are indicated as the mean ± standard error. * P <0.05 vs. control. Each group contains 10 mice.
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the treatment groups compared to control mice \((P = 0.001)\). Further, morphine significantly reduced the licking and biting time of the injected paw \(\)pain response\) in phases 1 and 2 and the number of writhing counts, respectively \((P = 0.001)\).

**Role of Naloxone on the Anti-nociceptive Activity of the QTE**

QTE \((400 \text{ mg/kg})\) induced a significant decline in the pain response in phases 1 and 2 compared to the control group \((P = 0.001)\) and inhibition pain response of 59.37\% \((P = 0.001)\). Naloxone \((2 \text{ mg/kg})\) had no significant effect on formalin and writhing tests \((P = 0.453)\). The injection of the naloxone + QTE amplified the pain response in comparison to the QTE group \((P = 0.047, \text{Figure 2})\).

Based on the findings, naloxone + QTE lessened pain response in the treatment groups in comparison to the control mice \((P = 0.021)\). These results suggested that the blockade of the opioid receptor with the opioid antagonist has regulated the effects of the QTE. Therefore, the anti-nociceptive response of the QTE is probably mediated by these receptors.

**Role of L-NAME on the Anti-nociceptive Activity of the QTE**

As shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, the injection of the QTE \((400 \text{ mg/kg})\) significantly reduced the pain response in phases 1 and 2 \((P = 0.001)\) and with writhing movements by 22.17\% \((P = 0.032)\). L-NAME \((10 \text{ mg/kg})\) had no obvious change, while the co-administration of the L-NAME + QTE suppressed the effect of the QTE \((P = 0.031)\). Additionally, L-NAME + QTE reduced the inhibition number of writhing movements \((55.75\%, \ P = 0.033)\). It seems that the anti-nociceptive response of the QTE is mediated via nitric receptors.

**Role of Cyproheptadine on the Anti-nociceptive Activity of the QTE**

QTE \((400 \text{ mg/kg})\) lessened pain response \((P = 0.001)\) and inhibition in pain response of 69.85 \% compared to the control group \((P = 0.024)\). However, cyproheptadine \((4 \text{ mg/kg})\) had no significant anti-nociception effect \((P = 0.215, \text{ Table 4 and Figure 4})\). Eventually, the injection of cyproheptadine + QTE exerted had no significant effect on QTE induced nociception and the inhibition number of writhing movements \((68.43\%, \text{ Table 4, } P = 0.754)\).

**Discussion**

So far, thousands of studies have focused on finding possible anti-nociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities of medicinal plants. However, there is rising attention in the use of these plants as therapeutic agents \((1)\). Based on the literature, there are novel findings on the interaction of the anti-nociceptive effect of the QTE. The formalin injection in the paw evokes biphasic peripheral pain. The primary phase happens because of the direct stimulation of nociceptors, and the following phase is due to inflammatory pain \((26)\). Based on the obtained data, the QTE \((100, 200, \text{ and } 400 \text{ mg/kg})\) decreased the time spend for licking and biting the injected paw in the formalin test. Furthermore, the QTE \((100, 200, \text{ and } 400 \text{ mg/kg})\) inhibited pain response \((37.73, 40.43, \text{ and } 59.37\%, \text{ respectively})\) in the writhing test. A variety of ion channels such as voltage-gated \(\text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{or Ca}^{2+}\) are responsible for pain transmission. The analgesic effect of tannic acid might be related to its modulatory role on ion channel functions \((17)\). For instance, it is assumed that the anti-nociceptive properties of the tannic acid in inflammatory pain are mediated by the activation of the \(\text{K}^+\) and the inhibition of \(\text{Na}^+\) channels and relieving inflammatory mediators such as bradykinin \((17)\). In addition, tannic acid and gallotannins, by inhibiting Cl\(^{-}\) secretion, lead to arterial smooth muscle relaxation, which has a potential molecular basis for cardioprotective benefits. However, based on the limitations of the current study, the researchers were unable to determine the effect of the QTE on \(\text{K}^+\) and \(\text{Na}^+\) channels. Perhaps, QTE-induced anti-nociception acts by this mechanism. These therapeutic potentials of the QTE have not been fully elicited and are worthy to be investigated by future studies.

The role of neurotransmitters such as opioidergic, serotonergic, and adrenergic systems in the modulation of nociceptive is well-documented in numerous reports. It is important to determine the possibility of these systems on the nociceptive properties of medical plants as new drugs and medications \((27)\). The results revealed that the injection of naloxone + QTE decreased pain. Naloxone as a nonselective antagonist opioid receptor inhibited the anti-nociceptive effects of the QTE. Perhaps, the anti-nociceptive response of the QTE is controlled by opioid receptors. All opioid sub-types of receptors are identified in the central nervous system and peripheral tissues are responsible in pain and analgesia \((1)\). However, the researchers of this study could not determine the direct interaction of the QTE with different opioid receptors given the limitations of the present study.

Moreover, the co-administration of L-NAME + QTE decreased the anti-nociception effect of the QTE. However, the co-injection of cyproheptadine + QTE could not significantly affect the anti-nociception effect of the QTE. The inflammatory pain is mediated by synergism in inflammatory mediators such as bradykinin, serotonin, histamine, prostaglandins, and nitric oxide \((\text{NO})\). The antioxidant activity of tannin-rich plants is responsible for the direct inhibition of NO production. The NO pathway has an essential role in the carrageenan-induced inflammatory response and paw edema test \((28)\). Further, NO significantly contributes to the acute and chronic phases of nociception in central and peripheral nervous systems \((24)\). The sub-plantar injection of formalin increased the NO level in the injected site and pretreatment with L-NAME reduced pain in mice \((29)\). Based on the findings of other studies, pretreatment with L-NAME inhibited the anti-nociceptive effect of *Mellilotus officinalis*...
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(Linn.) and *Sisyrinchium micranthum* extracts in the formalin test (29,30), which is in agreement with previous reports(). Although the direct mechanism for this finding is not determined, NO production in the spinal cord decreases the anti-nociceptive effect of the extract (27). It is assumed that the QTE has anti-nociceptive activity, and this role is mediated via the nitricergic system.
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