



# A Systematic Review on the Use of Artificial Intelligence Techniques in the Diagnosis of COVID-19 from Chest X-Ray Images

Mohammad Hosein Sadeghi<sup>1</sup>, Hamid Omid<sup>1</sup>, Sedigheh Sina<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nuclear Engineering, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Radiation Research Center, School of Mechanical Engineering, Shiraz University, Iran.

**\*Corresponding author:**

Sedigheh Sina,  
Department of Nuclear  
Engineering, School of  
Mechanical Engineering,  
Shiraz, Iran.  
Tel: +989172228349,  
Fax: +987116473474,  
Email: samirasina@shirazu.  
ac.ir

Received: 13 September 2020  
Accepted: 9 November 2020  
ePublished: 30 December 2020



## Abstract

**Background:** In this study, the artificial intelligence (AI) techniques used for the detection of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from the chest x-ray were reviewed.

**Methods:** PubMed, arXiv, and Google Scholar were used to search for AI studies.

**Results:** A total of 20 papers were extracted from Google Scholar, 14 from arXiv, and 5 from PubMed. In 17 papers, publicly available datasets and in 3 papers, independent datasets were used. 10 papers disclosed source codes. Nine papers were about creating a novel AI software, 8 papers reported the modification of the existing AI models, and 3 compared the performance of the existing AI software programs. All papers have used deep learning as AI technique. Most papers reported accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity of the models, and also the area under the curve (AUC) for investigation of the model performance for the prediction of COVID-19. Nine papers reported accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity. The number of datasets used in the studies ranged from 50 to 94323. The accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity of the models ranged from 0.88 to 0.98, 0.80 to 1.00, and 0.70 to 1.00, respectively.

**Conclusion:** The studies revealed that AI can help human in fighting the new Coronavirus.

**Keywords:** COVID-19, Artificial intelligence, Chest X-ray images

## Background

The novel coronavirus disease, known as COVID-19, caused by SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, has been an ongoing pandemic since 2019. The number of people infected with this coronavirus in the world is increasing very fast. Up to November 3, 2020, 47 367 047 cases of COVID-19 have been reported in the world, resulting in approximately 1 212 218 deaths (1). This viral infection is spreading rapidly (2–5) and was announced by the World Health Organization (WHO) to be a pandemic in March 2020.

The reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is used to diagnose infection with the virus, which causes pneumonia. In this process, the respiratory specimens, i.e., nasopharyngeal samples or oropharyngeal swabs are collected. The receipt place of the specimens is very important and affects the result of the test. Therefore, the experts' mistakes can result in false-negative results (6).

Radiological images have been shown to be effective for monitoring and diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infections. In clinical practice, imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT) scan and chest x-ray images, have been a great assistance to clinicians (7–11). Different investigations have shown that the coronavirus causes

abnormalities in lungs, which are visible in the CT images and chest x-rays. Utilization of such radiological images could be an important step in the diagnosis of the COVID-19 (12).

Artificial intelligence (AI), has been widely used as an emerging technology for the diagnosis of different diseases from medical images. The AI has contributed actively to the diagnosis of COVID-19 (13). This technique has provided sophisticated solutions for the challenges related to COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, using human creativity and knowledge and the updated technology can help in solving the problems. The performance of AI, in the form of machine learning or deep learning, is based on the identification of different patterns in the training databases (14). Deep Learning is a subfield of machine learning, mainly focuses on the automatic feature extraction and classification from images, which is widely used in medical application such as image segmentation, detection, and classification. AI, deep learning, and machine learning have been widely used for data mining, pattern recognition, and data analysis. Deep convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are used for extraction of the features automatically by a process called convolution. Each layer in the CNN transforms the data into a more and higher

abstract level. Higher layers or deep layers enhance the information parts which are significant for segregation and smother unimportant attributes. Because of the unlimited mined parameters in this process, several methods, such as pooling, are used for achieving dimension reduction. Utilizing the AI in diagnosis from the x-ray images can encourage the experts to use these images for the detection of the Coronavirus (15).

Several studies have been done on diagnostic of COVID-19 using the radiological images, based on AI and deep neural networks. The assessment of the use of AI in the diagnostic imaging of patients with COVID-19 could be a test case for the type of AI selected in the early stages of future emerging diseases, and also for providing information on the size of the dataset to be used and the appropriate use of AI. In this paper, we reviewed the diagnostic performance of the recently published AI on chest x-ray imaging of COVID-19.

### Materials and Methods

The databases were searched up to July 21, 2020, to extract articles on chest x-ray imaging and AI used for COVID-19. The databases were extracted from PubMed, arXiv, and Google Scholar. The search terms “COVID-19”, “SARS-CoV-2”, “Artificial intelligence”, “deep learning”, and “Chest X-ray image”. We looked for articles that involved studies of AI for chest x-ray images and extracted the number of datasets and results from these studies. The datasets include train and test sets. The results contained area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity. We introduced AI-based systems for detecting COVID-19 used in each article and also investigated the publication of the datasets and the source codes.

### Results

All the extracted articles can be found on Google Scholar. Duplications were removed, and only papers related to chest x-ray imaging were extracted. A total of 20 papers were extracted from Google Scholar, 14 from arXiv, and 5 from PubMed. Nine papers were about creating a novel AI software and the accuracy of AI for predicting COVID-19, eight papers reported on the modification of existing AI software to COVID-19, and 3 papers compared the existing AI software programs for predicting COVID-19. One AI software program predicted the severity of COVID-19; one AI software program measured uncertainty and interpretability for COVID-19 detection, and one paper used the existing AI software program for the classification of pulmonary diseases (Table 1).

One article was only on Google Scholar. Khan et al (16) proposed a novel COVID-RENet model to classify COVID-19 patients automatically, using chest x-ray images. COVID-RENet architecture exploits region-based classification and concept of edge in a deep CNN. To improve the performance of the model, they applied

custom VGG-16 nets to the model architecture and finally used SVM classifier for the discrimination of non-COVID patients from COVID-19 ones. Images for this study were obtained from publicly available dataset. The used dataset contained 150 COVID-19 and 150 non-COVID images. Data augmentation was applied to increase data points. Non-COVID-19 class in this study contained abnormal cases, normal ones, and other disease types. They also exploited pre-trained systems and transfer learning approach. This technique was evaluated using 5-fold cross-validation. The proposed technique achieved an accuracy of 98.3%, a sensitivity of 96.67%, a specificity of 100%, and an AUC value of 0.98. The dataset and proposed code are disclosed and publicly available. The article by Khan et al (16) was published on April 14, 2020.

### Studies Published on PubMed

Five papers were extracted from PubMed. The earliest publication belonged to Apostolopoulos et al (8) which was published on April 3, 2020. They examined a deep learning program using data from 224 published COVID-19 cases, 700 pneumonia cases, and 504 normal cases. The network and database are available for validation and refinement worldwide. They compared known CNNs (Inception ResNet, MobileNet, Xception, Inception, and VGG19) that used transfer learning, evaluated by 10-fold cross-validation, and found that Mobile Net showed the best results, obtaining a sensitivity and specificity of 99.1% and 97.1%, respectively in the detection of COVID-19. Ucar and Korkmaz (17) introduced a novel deep learning model, COVIDiagnosis-Net, based on deep SqueezeNet with Bayes optimization for COVID-19 detection. Their network achieved a specificity of 99.1%, for the COVID class, with an overall accuracy of 98.3%. The sensitivity has not been calculated. The network was trained by 66 images from patients with COVID-19, 3895 images from patients with pneumonia, and 1349 images of normal cases and tested by 10 images from patients with COVID-19, 395 with pneumonia, and 234 images of normal cases. The source code was not disclosed, but the dataset was a public dataset. The paper by Ucar and Korkmaz (17) was accepted on April 21, 2020.

Ozturk et al (18) presented a new deep learning model (DarkCovidNet) for diagnosis of Coronavirus disease using chest x-ray images. The model was developed for accurate binary classification (normal vs. COVID) and multi-class classification (COVID-19 vs pneumonia vs normal). The proposed model was evaluated by 5-fold cross validation with an average accuracy of 98.08%, an average specificity of 95.30%, and a sensitivity of 95.13% for detecting COVID-19. The DarkNet (19) model was used as a classifier for the you only look once (YOLO) real time object detection algorithm (19). The source code was disclosed. Chest X-ray images were obtained from two different available datasets. They used 127 x-ray images of

Table 1. Characteristics of AI Models, and Datasets Used for COVID-19 Detection From the X-Ray Images

Author	AI Technique: Deep Learning Method	Dataset	All Data	All COVID-19	Train (All/COVID-19)	Test (All/COVID-19)	Cross-validation	Sensitivity	Specificity	Accuracy	Dataset	Code URL
Khan et al (16)	COVID-RENet	COVID-19/Non-COVID	300	150			5	0.96	1	0.98	Open	<a href="https://github.com/m-mohsin-zafar/shk_covid_pytorch">https://github.com/m-mohsin-zafar/shk_covid_pytorch</a>
Apostolopoulos et al (8)	CNN (VGG19, MobileNet, Inception, Xception, Inception ResNet)	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	1427	244			10	0.99	0.97	N/A	Open	
Ucar and Korkmaz (17)	COVIDiagnosis-Net	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	5949	76	5310/66	639/10		N/A	0.99	0.98	Open	<a href="https://github.com/muhammedtalo/COVID-19">https://github.com/muhammedtalo/COVID-19</a>
Ozturk et al (18)	DarkCovidNet	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	1127	127			5	0.95	0.95	0.98	Open	
Apostolopoulos et al (20)	CNN (MobileNet)	COVID-19/Non-COVID	3895	453			10	0.97	0.99	0.99	Open	
Khan et al (21)	CoroNet	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	2408	441	1251/284	1157/157	4	0.96	0.97	0.89	Open	<a href="https://github.com/dirkhan1077/CoroNet">https://github.com/dirkhan1077/CoroNet</a>
Hemdan et al (22)	COVIDX-Net	COVID-19/Normal	50	25	40/N/A	10/N/A		1	N/A	0.90	Open	
Narin et al (7)	CNN (ResNet50, InceptionV3, Inception-ResNetV2)	COVID-19/Normal	100	50	80/N/A	20/N/A	5	0.96	1	0.98	Open	
Ghoshal et al (23)	ResNet50V2	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	5941	68	4753/N/A	1188/N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	Open	
Zhang et al (24)	CNN	COVID-19/CAP	1531	100	764/50	767/50		0.96	0.70	N/A	Open	
Halgard et al (26)	CNN and AlexNet	COVID-19/Normal	170	85	120/60	50/25		1	N/A	0.96	Open	
Farooq and Hafeez (27)	COVID-ResNet	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	5491	68				1	N/A	0.96	Open	
Hall et al (28)	ResNet50, VGG16	COVID-19/CAP	455	135	204/102	251/33	10	N/A	0.98	0.89	Open	<a href="https://github.com/hello1990/COVID-19-USF">https://github.com/hello1990/COVID-19-USF</a>
Alshar et al (30)	COVID-CAPS	COVID-19/CAP	94323	N/A	84891/N/A	9432/N/A		0.80	0.98	0.98	Open	<a href="https://github.com/ShahinSHH/COVID-CAPS">https://github.com/ShahinSHH/COVID-CAPS</a>
Minaee et al (31)	CNN (ResNet18, ResNet50, SqueezeNet, DenseNet-121)	COVID-19/Non-COVID	5071	71	2031/31	3040/40		0.97	0.90	N/A	Open	<a href="https://github.com/shervinmin/DeepCovid.git">https://github.com/shervinmin/DeepCovid.git</a>
Oh et al (32)	DenseNet103, ResNet-18	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	14833	170	14623/160	210/10		0.92	0.96	0.88	Open	
Wang et al (9)	COVID-Net	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	14255	449	13975/358	280/91		0.91	N/A	0.93	Open	<a href="https://github.com/lincawangg/COVID-Net">https://github.com/lincawangg/COVID-Net</a>
Abbas et al (33)	DeTraC	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	196	105	137/N/A	59/N/A		0.97	0.91	0.95	Not open	<a href="https://github.com/asmaa4may/DeTraC_COVID19">https://github.com/asmaa4may/DeTraC_COVID19</a>
Rahimzadeh and Aitar (34)	CNN (Xception, ResNet)	COVID-19/CAP/Normal	15085	180	3783/149	11302/31	5	0.80	0.99	0.91	Open	<a href="https://github.com/mr7495/covid19">https://github.com/mr7495/covid19</a>
Signoroni et al (35)	BS-Net	COVID-19	4707	4707	3313/3313	449/449		N/A	N/A	N/A	Pending	<a href="http://github.org/BrixLA">http://github.org/BrixLA</a>

Note: 2D/3D: two-dimensional/three-dimensional; All data: the number of all datasets for the study; All COVID-19: the number of COVID-19 dataset for the study; Train (all/COVID-19): the number of COVID-19 dataset for training and the number of COVID-19 dataset for training; Test (all/COVID-19): the number of all datasets for test; AUC: area under the curve; CAP: community-acquired pneumonia; fluA: influenza pneumonia; N/A: not applicable; Non-COVID: both normal cases and other types of diseases.

COVID-19 patients, 500 images of pneumonia patients, and 500 normal chest x-ray images. The paper by Ozturk et al (18) was published on April 28, 2020.

Apostolopoulos et al (20) employed a CNN model called MobileNet to classify automatically the COVID-19 and other pulmonary diseases from X-ray images. Training the CNN from scratch was better than the other transfer learning techniques, which was evaluated by 10-fold cross-validation for 7-class (COVID-19, edema, Efusion, Emphys, fibrosis, pneumonia, and normal) and the 2-class classification (Non-COVID vs. COVID-19). The non-COVID-19 class in this study contained both normal images and other types of diseases. A classification accuracy of 87.66% was achieved for 7 classes. The proposed method achieved an accuracy of 99.18%, a sensitivity of 97.36%, and a specificity of 99.42% in the detection of COVID-19. Apostolopoulos et al used a public dataset. The dataset corresponding to six diseases was utilized for MobileNet and it was composed of 3895 X-ray images. They used 289, 314, 265, 909, 323, 1342, and 453 images of Efusion, edema, Emphys, pneumonia, fibrosis, and normal, and COVID-19 cases, respectively. The network and database are available. The paper was published on May 14, 2020.

Khan et al (21) proposed CoroNet, a convolutional neural network model for automatic detection of COVID-19 infection from chest x-rays. Their model was based on Xception architecture pre-trained on ImageNet dataset and trained end-to-end by X-ray images prepared by 2 publicly available datasets. Their program was trained and tested on the prepared dataset and the results for 3-class classification (COVID-19 vs pneumonia vs normal) showed that the specificity and sensitivity rates were 97.5% and 96.9% for COVID-19 cases. They used 4-fold cross-validation and calculated an overall accuracy of 89.6%. The network was trained with data that included 284 COVID-19 images, 657 pneumonia images, and 310 normal images. The network was evaluated by testing it with 157 COVID-19, 500 pneumonia, and 500 normal chest x-ray images. The source code and dataset are available. The paper by Khan et al (21) was published on May 30, 2020.

### Studies Published on arXiv

Fourteen papers were extracted from arXiv. Hemdan et al (22) proposed COVIDX-Net framework to classify COVID-19 in chest x-ray images. This framework identifies COVID-19 status in conventional 2D Chest X-ray images. COVIDX-Net architecture was based on seven different architectures of CNN models such as MobileNetV2, DenseNet201, ResNetV2, InceptionV3, Xception, InceptionResNetV2, and VGG19. Among them, they recommended the DenseNet201 and VGG19 models because these models achieved the best value of accuracy (90%). The sensitivity of both VGG19 and DenseNet201 models for COVID-19 patients was 100% and the AUC

value was 0.9. The public dataset was used in this study. Images were divided into 2 classes: 25 positive COVID-19 and 25 normal images. 80% (40 images) including normal and diseased cases were randomly chosen for network training and 20% (10 images) of them were used for testing phase. They presented a detailed description of the developed framework in their paper. The article was published on March 24, 2020.

Narin et al (7) in their study which was published on March 24, 2020, proposed deep learning model which consisted of 3 CNN-based models (ResNet50, Inception-ResNetV2, and InceptionV3) for the detection of patients with COVID-19 using Chest X-ray images. They used 5-fold cross-validation. Their proposed model had an end-to-end structure without selection methods and manual feature extraction. They also demonstrated that pre-trained ResNet50 was more effective than the other two models and Chest X-ray images were the best tool for the detection of COVID-19. Pre-trained models achieved very good results using a small dataset (50 normal vs. 50 COVID-19) from two different publically available datasets. Transfer learning technique was applied to overcome the low number of data and the insufficient training time. In this study, 80% (80 chest x-ray images) of the images were used for training and 20% (20 chest x-ray images) of them were used for testing. ResNet50 pre-trained model obtained the best proficiency with a specificity of 100%, an accuracy of 98%, and sensitivity of 96%. Inception-ResNetV2 showed the lowest performance with a sensitivity of 84%, an accuracy of 87%, and a specificity of 90%.

On March 27, 2020, Ghoshal et al (23) published an article about the uncertainty and interpretability of deep learning for COVID-19 detection. They showed that the drop-weights based Bayesian Convolutional Neural Networks (BCNNs) can estimate the uncertainty in deep learning approaches to improve the human-machine combination performance in diagnosing COVID-19. They showed that the uncertainty in prediction and the accuracy of the prediction are highly correlated with each other. About 5941 chest x-ray images of 4 classes, 1583 normal, 2786 bacterial pneumonia, 1504 non-COVID viral pneumonia, and 68 COVID-19 images, were used in this study. They used a public dataset. The experiment was run in a transfer learning setting using pretrained ResNet50V2 model and acquiring data only to fine-tune the original model. Eighty percent of the images were used in the training set and 20% of them were used for testing set. For enhancing the learning capability and preventing overfitting, they also applied real-time data augmentation, using Keras ImageDataGenerator during training. The analysis included a comparison of two variational drop-weights based uncertainty measures, Bayesian Active Learning by Disagreement (BALD) and Predictive Entropy (PH).

On March 27, 2020, Zhang et al (24) developed a

new deep learning method to identify COVID-19 from pneumonia (not COVID-19) cases using chest x-ray images. This model is based on anomaly detection. The proposed model was composed of three components: 1) backbone network which is the 18-layer residual convolutional neural network (25) pre-trained on the ImageNet, 2) classification head which is a convolutional layer to classify the input image, 3) anomaly detection head which generates the scalar anomaly scores and has the same architecture with the classification head. The dataset used for this work includes 100 chest x-ray images from 70 patients with COVID-19, and 1431 chest x-ray images from 1008 patients diagnosed as pneumonia (not COVID-19). They used a public dataset. The network was trained by data that included 50 COVID-19 images and 714 pneumonia images. The network was evaluated by testing it with 717 pneumonia and 50 COVID-19 chest x-ray images. The final performance obtained by averaging two splits. This model achieved a sensitivity of 96.00% and specificity of 70.65% and the AUC value in this work was 93.43%.

On March 31, 2020, Halgurd et al (26) used deep learning and transfer learning algorithms to diagnose COVID-19 from x-ray and CT images. They proposed a modified CNN model and also used a pre-trained modified network namely AlexNet for accurate and fast detection of COVID-19. This model showed an accuracy of up to 98% using a pre-trained network and 94.1% accuracy via modified CNN. The achieved sensitivity using modified CNN was 100% for COVID-19 X-ray images and 90% for CT images. Pre-trained AlexNet identified all COVID-19 X-ray images and 97% of the normal x-ray images. However, AlexNet identified only 72% of COVID-19 CT images. The used data were publicly available and collected from different sources. 120 x-ray images (60 COVID-19, 60 normal) and 339 CT images (192 COVID-19 and 147 normal) were used to train the proposed CNN model. To test the proposed CNN models, 50 X-ray images (25 normal versus 25 COVID-19) were used and for CT images, 17 images were used in the testing phase, six of which were normal CT images and 11 of them were COVID-19 images.

In March 2020, Farooq and Hafeez (27) presented a model called COVID-ResNet for differentiating non-COVID pneumonia from COVID-19 cases. This model was created by improving model performance and reducing the training time of pre-trained ResNet-50 architecture. Their model achieved an accuracy of 96.23% (on all the classes) and a sensitivity of 100% for COVID-19 cases. The public COVIDx dataset was used in this study which consisted of 5941 Chest X-ray images from 2839 patients with four classes: 1) Normal (no infections), 2) Bacterial (bacterial pneumonia) 3) Viral (not COVID-19, viral pneumonia), and 4) COVID-19. In the current dataset, there were 68 COVID-19 images from 45 COVID-19

patients and 1203 normal cases, i.e. negative pneumonia cases, 931 bacterial pneumonia cases, and 660 viral pneumonia cases. Because of the lower number of COVID-19 cases, data augmentation was applied to create new samples for training data. Their results were published on March 31, 2020.

Hall et al (28) used a group of three networks: pre-trained ResNet50, VGG16, and a small deep CNN (29) to diagnose COVID-19 from chest x-ray images based on a small dataset. These networks were trained on images from ImageNet. Transfer learning was applied to reduce the required data. The used dataset was obtained from publicly available sources which contained 135 COVID-19 and 320 other pneumonia chest x-ray images. ResNet50 was trained on 102 non-COVID pneumonia images, and 102 COVID-19 cases in 10-fold cross-validation. Additionally, 33 COVID-19 images and 218 pneumonia cases were used to test the network. The source code was disclosed. This method achieved an overall accuracy of 89.2% for COVID-19, a specificity of 98%, and an AUC of 0.94. The results of this study were published on April 5, 2020.

On April 16, 2020, the results of a study by Afshar et al (30) about COVID-19 identification were published. They presented a modeling framework based on capsule networks, namely COVID-CAPS, to identify COVID-19 from chest x-ray images based on a small dataset. COVID-CAPS model is publicly available. The model showed an accuracy of 95.7%, a specificity of 95.8%, a sensitivity of 90%, and an AUC of 0.97. In order to improve the accuracy, they also used transfer learning and pre-training approaches using an external dataset of 94323 chest x-ray images of common thorax diseases, which were obtained from a public dataset. Using the pre-trained external dataset improved accuracy, specificity, and AUC to 98.3%, 98.6%, and 0.97, respectively; however, sensitivity decreased to 80%. The COVID-CAPS model consisted of three capsule layers and four convolutional layers. The inputs of this network were 3D X-ray images.

Minaee et al (31) used deep learning for COVID-19 detection from 2D Chest images from the publicly available dataset, by fine-tuning four pre-trained CNN models, ResNet50, ResNet18, DenseNet-121, and SqueezeNet. The results showed a sensitivity rate of 97% ( $\pm 5\%$ ) and a specificity rate of about 90%. The AUC value of these four models was around 0.99. They found that SqueezeNet had a much better performance in distinguishing COVID and non-COVID images. Non-COVID-19 class in this study contained both normal cases and other disease types. The source codes were disclosed. The network was trained by data that included 31 images (496 after augmentation) with COVID-19, 2000 images that were non-COVID. The network was evaluated by testing it with 40 COVID-19 images and 3000 non-COVID images. Minaee et al used transfer learning because the images that were labeled as COVID-19 were very limited. The paper by Minaee et al

(31) was published on April 20, 2020.

Oh et al (32) applied a patch-based CNN with few trainable parameters for COVID-19 detection. Their proposed model was composed of several components. The first part was the segmentation network. They utilized fully convolutional (FC)-DenseNet103 for segmentation and extracting the heart and lung contour from Chest images. The second part was the classification network with the aim of classifying chest images based on the type of disease. They used ResNet-18 as a classification network. In the third step, they investigated model interpretability by Grad-CAM map visualization method. To compose a total dataset, they combined COVID-19 image data collection with RSNA Pneumonia Detection Challenge dataset (9). Among them, 8651 normal, 5812 pneumonia, and 160 COVID-19 images were randomly selected for training. Then, 100 normal cases, 100 pneumonia cases, and 10 COVID-19 cases used as the test set. In this study, the resulted accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity for COVID-19 were 88.9%, 92.5%, and 96.4%, respectively. Compared with COVID-Net, this model provided improved sensitivity and used only about 10% of the parameters compared to that of COVID-Net. The article by Oh et al (32) was published on May 5, 2020.

Wang et al (9) introduced COVID-Net, a CNN model for COVID-19 detection from chest images obtained by x-ray. The proposed model is available for the public. The proposed network made one of the following predictions: (a) normal with no infection, (b) pneumonia infection (bacterial, viral, etc), and (c) COVID-19.

COVID-Net achieved 93.3% test accuracy and 91.0% sensitivity for COVID-19 cases. They also introduced COVIDx, an open-access benchmark dataset that they generated. To generate the COVIDx dataset, they used different available datasets like COVID-19 ActualMed COVID-19 Chest X-ray Dataset Initiative, Image Data Collection, RSNA Pneumonia Detection Challenge dataset, and COVID-19 radiography database. The dataset they used to train and evaluate the proposed network included 13975 Chest X-ray images of 13870 patient cases. It contained a total of 8066 patient cases who had no pneumonia (i.e., normal), 5538 patient cases who had other types of pneumonia, and 358 chest x-ray images from 266 COVID-19 patient cases. In order to test the network, they used 95 normal, 94 pneumonia, and 91 COVID-19 images. Wang et al (9) published their results on May 11, 2020.

Abbas et al (33) adapted their previous CNN model, called DeTraC model, to improve the performance of pre-trained models in COVID-19 detection from chest x-rays. They disclosed the source code. They added an additional class decomposition layer to the pre-trained models. ResNet18, as an ImageNet pre-trained CNN model, was used for knowledge transformation. This model could deal with image dataset irregularities by investigating its class

boundaries. An accuracy of 95.12% (with the specificity of 91.87% and the sensitivity of 97.91%) was achieved for this model in the detection of COVID-19 from normal and other pneumonia cases. They collected x-ray image datasets from several hospitals. The used datasets contained 80 samples of normal chest x-rays, 105 COVID-19, and 11 other pneumonia samples. Using data augmentation techniques, a total of 1764 samples were achieved. In this study, 70% of images were used for training and 30% of them were used for the evaluation of the classification performance. Their article was published on May 17, 2020.

Rahimzadeh and Attar (34) used a concatenated neural network based on ResNet50 and Xception networks for classification of the x-ray images of the chest, i.e. COVID-19, pneumonia, and normal. They introduced several techniques for improving the training process when the dataset was unbalanced, i.e., fewer cases of COVID-19 relative to more cases from other classes. Their network achieved a sensitivity of 80.53% and a specificity of 99.56% for the COVID-19 detection, while the overall accuracy was found to be 91.4%. They trained the network using data that included 149 images of patients with COVID-19, 1634 images of patients with pneumonia, and 2000 images of normal patients. They evaluated the network with test data from 31 images of COVID-19 patients, 4420 images of patients with pneumonia, and 6851 normal images, using 2 open-source datasets. The source code is publicly available. The paper by Rahimzadeh and Attar (34) was published on May 26, 2020.

The last one is Signoroni et al (35) that presented deep network architecture named Brixia-score Network (BS-Net), an end-to-end deep learning architecture, for prediction of the degree of lung compromise in COVID patients from chest x-ray images. The network showed good fine-tuning capability and good direct generalization of BS-Net. Images including 4707 clinical chest x-ray images from confirmed COVID-19 patients collected in the same hospital, corresponding to the entire amount of images taken during both triage and patient monitoring in sub-intensive and intensive care units of the hospital during one month. The network was trained and tested with 3313 and 449 images, respectively. The whole architecture source code was released but Brixia-dataset has been submitted to Ethics Committee and is currently pending. Signoroni et al introduced an end-to-end image analysis system for the estimation of a semiquantitative rating based on a difficult visual task. Semiquantitative scoring system was used to monitor the patients, having high prognostic importance. They adopted a weakly supervised learning strategy trained with a "from part to whole" procedure involving various different datasets for performing score estimation, spatial alignment, and segmentation. All image reports included the Brixia-score as a string of six digits indicating the scores assigned to each region, A to C for the right lung and D to

F for the left lung. Scores were assigned by a team of about 15 radiologists operating in different radiology units of the hospital. The paper by Signoroni et al (35) was published on Jun 8, 2020.

### Discussion

The coronavirus outbreak continues to surprise the world. The RT-PCR test is known as the standard method of COVID-19 detection, which is a time-consuming process. The patients must be isolated for a long duration until receiving the test results. Besides these disadvantages, the detection rate of such tests is low, i.e. between 30% and 50%. Hence, most of the time they need to be repeated to make a confirmation (36).

AI-based solutions with chest x-ray images have the potential to diagnose COVID-19 as a fast and accessible diagnostic modality. In our review, the papers were arranged according to their publication date. All studies used chest x-ray images. Seventeen studies used publicly available datasets and 10 studies disclosed source codes. Three studies used independent datasets, one was disclosed (9), one was not disclosed (33), and one of them was pending (35) until July 21, 2020. One of issues that need to be considered when releasing datasets is ethical considerations, and most of the studies done by the datasets have been cleared of these problems. Most of the studies used publicly available datasets. It is expected that the research will be further accelerated with published source codes and open datasets. All papers used deep learning as AI technique. Most papers have used novel (9,16-18,21,22,27,30,35) or modified (20,23,24,26,28,32-34) AI software based on deep learning-based neural networks. The COVID-19 prediction is the main topic of most papers and issues such as the severity of COVID-19 (35), uncertainty for COVID-19 detection (23), and classification of pulmonary diseases (20) have also been considered.

### Conclusion

COVID-19 is currently an important issue for humans. Because of the rapid spread of this disease, chest x-ray images and AI can be used effectively in the rapid diagnosis of this disease. This review summarizes the currently published AI researches using chest x-ray for COVID-19 diagnosis. The studies revealed that AI can help humans in fighting the new coronavirus.

### Conflict of Interest Disclosures

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### Ethical Issues

Not applicable.

### References

1. Coronavirus disease 2019. Available at: <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019>. Accessed 21st

2. Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):507-13. doi: [10.1016/s0140-6736\(20\)30211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30211-7).
3. Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(13):1199-207. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa2001316](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001316).
4. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, Lofy KH, Wiesman J, Bruce H, et al. First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(10):929-36. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa2001191](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001191).
5. Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020;323(11):1061-9. doi: [10.1001/jama.2020.1585](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585).
6. Liu R, Han H, Liu F, Lv Z, Wu K, Liu Y, et al. Positive rate of RT-PCR detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection in 4880 cases from one hospital in Wuhan, China, from Jan to Feb 2020. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2020;505:172-5. doi: [10.1016/j.cca.2020.03.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2020.03.009).
7. Narin A, Kaya C, Pamuk Z. Automatic detection of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) using X-ray images and deep convolutional neural networks. *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2003.10849*. 2020.
8. Apostolopoulos ID, Mpesiana TA. Covid-19: automatic detection from X-ray images utilizing transfer learning with convolutional neural networks. *Phys Eng Sci Med*. 2020;43(2):635-40. doi: [10.1007/s13246-020-00865-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13246-020-00865-4).
9. Wang L, Lin ZQ, Wong A. COVID-Net: a tailored deep convolutional neural network design for detection of COVID-19 cases from chest X-ray images. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):19549. doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-76550-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76550-z).
10. Kanne JP. Chest CT findings in 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections from Wuhan, China: key points for the radiologist. *Radiology*. 2020;295(1):16-7. doi: [10.1148/radiol.2020200241](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200241).
11. Xie X, Zhong Z, Zhao W, Zheng C, Wang F, Liu J. Chest CT for typical coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia: relationship to negative RT-PCR testing. *Radiology*. 2020;296(2):E41-E5. doi: [10.1148/radiol.20200343](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.20200343).
12. Li Y, Xia L. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): role of chest CT in diagnosis and management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2020;214(6):1280-6. doi: [10.2214/ajr.20.22954](https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.20.22954).
13. Shi F, Wang J, Shi J, Wu Z, Wang Q, Tang Z, et al. Review of artificial intelligence techniques in imaging data acquisition, segmentation and diagnosis for COVID-19. *IEEE Rev Biomed Eng*. 2020. doi: [10.1109/rbme.2020.2987975](https://doi.org/10.1109/rbme.2020.2987975).
14. Ilyas M, Rehman H, Naït-Ali A. Detection of Covid-19 from chest X-ray images using artificial intelligence: an early review. *arXiv Prepr. arXiv2004.05436*. 2020.
15. Kermany DS, Goldbaum M, Cai W, Valentim CCS, Liang H, Baxter SL, et al. Identifying medical diagnoses and treatable diseases by image-based deep learning. *Cell*. 2018;172(5):1122-31.e9. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.02.010).
16. Khan SH, Sohail A, Zafar MM, Khan A. Coronavirus Disease Analysis using Chest X-ray Images and a Novel Deep Convolutional Neural Network. *ResearchGate*; 2020. doi: [10.13140/rg.2.2.35868.64646](https://doi.org/10.13140/rg.2.2.35868.64646).
17. Ucar F, Korkmaz D. COVIDiagnosis-Net: Deep Bayes-SqueezeNet based diagnosis of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) from X-ray images. *Med Hypotheses*. 2020;140:109761. doi: [10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109761](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.109761).
18. Ozturk T, Talo M, Yildirim EA, Baloglu UB, Yildirim O, Rajendra Acharya U. Automated detection of COVID-19 cases using deep neural networks with X-ray images. *Comput Biol Med*. 2020;121:103792. doi: [10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103792](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103792).
19. Redmon J, Farhadi A. YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger. In: June 2020.

- Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition; 2017. p. 7263-71.
20. Apostolopoulos ID, Aznaouridis SI, Tzani MA. Extracting possibly representative COVID-19 biomarkers from X-Ray images with deep learning approach and image data related to pulmonary diseases. *J Med Biol Eng.* 2020;1-8. doi: [10.1007/s40846-020-00529-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40846-020-00529-4).
  21. Khan AI, Shah JL, Bhat MM. CoroNet: a deep neural network for detection and diagnosis of COVID-19 from chest X-ray images. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2020;196:105581. doi: [10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105581](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105581).
  22. Hemdan EE, Shouman MA, Karar ME. COVIDX-Net: a framework of deep learning classifiers to diagnose COVID-19 in X-ray images. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv:2003.11055.* 2020.
  23. Ghoshal B, Tucker A. Estimating uncertainty and interpretability in deep learning for coronavirus (COVID-19) detection. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2003.10769.* 2020.
  24. Zhang J, Xie Y, Li Y, Shen C, Xia Y. COVID-19 screening on chest X-ray images using deep learning based anomaly detection. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2003.12338.* 2020.
  25. He K, Zhang, X, Ren S, Sun J. Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*; 2016. p. 770-8.
  26. Maghdid HS, Asaad AT, Ghafoor KZ, Sadiq AS, Khan MK. Diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia from X-ray and CT images using deep learning and transfer learning algorithms. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2004.00038.* 2020.
  27. Farooq M, Hafeez A. COVID-ResNet: a deep learning framework for screening of COVID19 from radiographs. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2003.14395.* 2020.
  28. Hall LO, Paul R, Goldgof DB, Goldgof GM. Finding COVID-19 from chest X-rays using deep learning on a small dataset. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2004.02060.* 2020.
  29. Paul R, Hall L, Goldgof D, Schabath M, Gillies R. Predicting nodule malignancy using a CNN ensemble approach. *Proc Int Jt Conf Neural Netw.* 2018;2018. doi: [10.1109/ijcnn.2018.8489345](https://doi.org/10.1109/ijcnn.2018.8489345).
  30. Afshar P, Heidarian S, Naderkhani F, Oikonomou A, Plataniotis KN, Mohammadi A. COVID-CAPS: a capsule network-based framework for identification of COVID-19 cases from X-ray images. *Pattern Recognit Lett.* 2020;138:638-43. doi: [10.1016/j.patrec.2020.09.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2020.09.010).
  31. Minaee S, Kafieh R, Sonka M, Yazdani S, Jamalipour Soufi G. Deep-COVID: predicting COVID-19 from chest X-ray images using deep transfer learning. *Med Image Anal.* 2020;65:101794. doi: [10.1016/j.media.2020.101794](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2020.101794).
  32. Oh Y, Park S, Ye JC. Deep learning COVID-19 features on CXR using limited training data sets. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* 2020;39(8):2688-700. doi: [10.1109/tmi.2020.2993291](https://doi.org/10.1109/tmi.2020.2993291).
  33. Abbas A, Abdelsamea MM, Gaber MM. Classification of COVID-19 in chest X-ray images using DeTraC deep convolutional neural network. *Appl Intell.* 2020. doi: [10.1007/s10489-020-01829-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01829-7).
  34. Rahimzadeh M, Attar A. A modified deep convolutional neural network for detecting COVID-19 and pneumonia from chest X-ray images based on the concatenation of Xception and ResNet50V2. *Inform Med Unlocked.* 2020;19:100360. doi: [10.1016/j.imu.2020.100360](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2020.100360).
  35. Signoroni A, Savardi M, Benini S, Adami N, Leonardi R, Gibellini P, et al. End-to-end learning for semiquantitative rating of COVID-19 severity on chest X-rays. *arXiv Preprint. arXiv2006.04603.* 2020.
  36. Chu DKW, Pan Y, Cheng SMS, Hui KPY, Krishnan P, Liu Y, et al. Molecular diagnosis of a novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) causing an outbreak of pneumonia. *Clin Chem.* 2020;66(4):549-55. doi: [10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029](https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/hvaa029).